



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/664,578	09/18/2000	Stephen C. Roderick	130244	3952	
25943 7590 10/25/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	WILLIAMSON & W	BASHORE, WILLIAM L			
PACWEST CI	ENTER, SUITE 1900 TH AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, OR 97204		2176			

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/664,578	RODERICK, STEPHEN C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
William L. Bashore	2176		

Advisory Action	09/004,370	NODEINION, OTELL	1211 0.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Bashore	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Note a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing time.	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off te of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ected claims.	•
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 3-7.9-11, 13-14, 18-20, 23, 25- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	-35		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	•
13. Other:		WILLIAM BAS PRIMARY EXA	} HORE MINER
		10/19/200	-
		1-111/200	•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant's proposed amendment regarding "wherein the resource identifier is comprised of a product identifier" (as opposed to an industry standard product identifier), significantly changes the scope of the claimed invention when interpreted as a whole, therefore said amendment would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The cited art of record (Blinn, Nazam) teaches/suggests the presently claimed limitations at the present time.

VILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/19/2005